

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/533,776

Applicant(s)

KINDER, HELMUT

Examiner

RAKESH K. DHINGRA

Art Unit

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAKESH K. DHINGRA

(3) _____

(2) Raymond R. Ferrera Esq.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to amend the Abstract so as to be in a single paragraph, replace title of the abstract from "Summary" to "Abstract", delete the words "(Fig. 1)" from below the last line of the abstract, and also delete line numbers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rakesh K. Dhingra/
Examiner, Art Unit 1792